

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,036		01/19/2005	Kenji Maruyama	SHIGA7.004APC	1510
20995	7590	09/15/2006		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE 1 2040 MAIN		NS OLSON & BEA	LEE, SIN J		
FOURTEEN		OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614				1752	
				DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,036	MARUYAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sin J. Lee	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10,13-18 and 21-40</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10,13-18,21,22 and 31</u> is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>23-29 and 32-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>30,39 and 40</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. wed.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected t drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	[i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants canceled claims 2, 3, 11, 12, 19 and 20.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 23-26, 28, 29, 32-35, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al (US 2002/0012869 A1).

In Example 1, Adams teaches the synthesis of the following polymer:

Art Unit: 1752

Synthesis of 1,4-cyclohexanedimethanol divinyl ether (CHVE) Crosslinked Hydroxystyrene (HS)/Styrene/t-butylacrylate terpolymer

[0048]

The mole % for the *styrene unit* in the starting polymer (i.e., uncrosslinked polymer) is 20 mol %. Adams combines his polymer with a photoacid generator and ethyl lactate

Art Unit: 1752

solvent, and the formulated photoresist is spin coated onto a silicon wafer; solvent removed by soft bake; the resist layer is exposed to 248 nm radiation; the exposed resist layer is subjected to post-exposure thermal treatment; and then the resist layer is developed to obtain a resist pattern (see [0053]). Adams also teaches ([0036]) the use of a base such as tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAH) or a lactate salt of TBAH in the amount of 1-20% by weight relative to the photoacid generator. Adams states that his resist composition can be photoactivated by an exposure wavelength in the deep UV range, typically about 150 to 300 or 450 nm. Adam states in Example 1 (see [0051]) that the acid catalyst (which was used in making the polymer shown above) was removed by using ion exchange beads for two hours. Present specification, pg.14, states that the acid component (such as an acid catalyst used to synthesize present resin) can be removed by using ion exchange resin. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that Adam (which uses the same method of removing the acid catalyst) inherently teaches present limitation as to the acid component being 10 ppm or less.

Therefore, Adams teaches present inventions of claims 23-26, 28, 29, 32-35, 37 and 38 (it is the Examiner's position that Adams's photoresist composition would inherently be capable of being used for a thick-firm photolithography process used for forming a resist film having a thickness of 2-7 um and for forming a resist pattern for implantation as recited in claims 28, 29, 37 and 38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 4

6. Claims 27 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al (US 2002/0012869 A1) in view of Takeda et al (US 6,593,056 B2).

As discussed above, Adams uses ethyl lactate solvent for his positive chemically-amplified photoresist composition. As evidenced by Takeda, col.42, lines 35-37, lines 50-53, γ -butyrolactone and ethyl lactate are art-known equivalent solvents for chemically amplified positive resist composition. Therefore, because those two solvents were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use γ -butyrolactone as Adams's solvent. Therefore, Adams in view of Takeda would render obvious present inventions of claims 27 and 36.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 30, 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Adams does not teach or suggest present step of forming a resist film having a thickness of 2-7 um as claimed in claims 30 and 39.
- 8. Claims 1, 4-10, 13, 14 and 31 are allowed. Adams et al does not teach or suggest present resin (A) of claim 1. Claims 15-18, 21 and 22 are allowed. Adams et al does not teach or suggest present poly(bissulfonyl)diazomethane photoacid generator of present claim 15.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333.

Art Unit: 1752

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S-J.J.

S. Lee

September 12, 2006

SIN LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6